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Background

Switzerland is an attractive base for international sports organisations, on account of its geographic location, highly qualified workforce, political stability, neutrality, security, quality of life and, most importantly, its very liberal legal code and attractive tax regime. It is no coincidence that these important bodies organising worldwide sports have all chosen the legal form of a Swiss association, granting maximum flexibility and autonomy to the organisation.

Although the importance of Swiss law for international sporting associations is considerable, the importance of these organisations for Switzerland is also not to be underestimated. A recent survey by the International Academy of Sports Science and Technology (AIST) showed that the 45 main international sporting associations headquartered in Switzerland contributed an average of CHF 1.07 billion (some US$1.16 billion) annually to the Swiss economy between 2008 and 2013.

These numbers show that sport and sporting associations have experienced significant professionalisation and commercialisation in recent years. In line with this growth, numerous measures are either in the process of being implemented or at the planning stage to combat the increased scope for corruption and betting manipulation in sport at the national and international levels. It is therefore incumbent on Switzerland, as a hub of international sporting associations, to rise to the challenge with a range of measures of its own. This article highlights out the most important changes under way in Switzerland regarding the investigation and prosecution of corruption in sport.
The current situation

Although Switzerland is perceived to be one of the countries least affected by corruption, regular amendments to the criminal law on corruption are necessary as it applies to international sport organisations. The seven-member Swiss Federal Council – which serves as the Swiss head of state – approves such amendments.

Bribery under Swiss criminal law

Article 332 of the Swiss Criminal Code punishes the active and passive bribery of Swiss or foreign public officials. These rules are special torts, which means that bribery is punishable under criminal law only if the individual bribed is a public official. A public official must be either a member of an authority or a court, an official, an officially appointed expert, a translator, an interpreter, a referee or a member of the army. Sports organisations as legal entities under Swiss private law and their officials cannot be categorised as public officials in the meaning of the Swiss criminal code. Therefore, according to the law at the time of writing, there is no criminal liability for the bribery of officials of international sports organisations.

Bribery under Swiss competition law

In addition to the criminalisation of the bribery of officials there also exists a legal basis against private bribery in Switzerland. Under the law at present, private corruption is a criminal offence only if it leads to a distortion of competition within the meaning of the Federal Act against Unfair Competition (UWG). Article 4a of the act covers both active (granting of an advantage) and passive (acceptance of an advantage) corruption, but it is pursued only if an individual who is affected files a complaint. An affected person can be a worker, a partner, an agent or an assistant of any other third person. Private bribery is always based on a triangular relationship, whereas the bribed
individual (the agent) stands in a fiduciary relationship with the primarily damaged individual (the principal).\textsuperscript{12}

The applicability of the Federal Act against Unfair Competition presupposes a competitive relationship between the participating parties in such an act, however.\textsuperscript{13} Therefore, it was unclear whether the act was applicable in the field of sport or not. In a ruling given in 2004, the Federal Council expressed the opinion that article 4a of the act did apply to non-governmental organisations (NGOs) – and therefore sports organisations – if they are in a competitive relationship.\textsuperscript{14} In the opinion of the authors, there can be no doubt that organisations such as the Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA) and the International Olympic Committee (IOC) are in a competitive relationship. The Federal Council also said, however, that it doubted whether it would be ‘business conduct’ within the meaning of the act (UWG) if members of an association received financial benefits in preferring the bid of a city that was aiming to host a major sporting event.\textsuperscript{15} Otherwise, if an attempt was being made, with bribery payments to or from private companies, to influence a competitive relationship, such as the conclusion of sponsorship agreements, then such an act could already qualify as bribery under the current Federal Act against Unfair Competition.\textsuperscript{16}

\textbf{Box 6.1 Match-fixing and the law in Switzerland}

One might have expected match-fixing to qualify as a crime under applicable laws in Switzerland. In 2012, however, the Federal Criminal Court held that football players allegedly involved in match-fixing could not be subject to criminal sanctions.\textsuperscript{17}

The court came to its conclusion on the grounds that, under the Swiss Criminal Code (article 146), for the crime of fraud to have been committed it was necessary for a human being to have been misled, not an electronic betting system.\textsuperscript{18} In the case before the court,
however, three football players had been accused of manipulating, or trying to manipulate, football games and generate winnings on electronic betting platforms. As no specific individuals had been misled or manipulated, the court was of the opinion that it had no choice other than to discharge the accused players.²⁹

There are other ways to approach this matter, however, as the example of the Swiss Association of Football Players shows; the association, as part of its ‘Show Respect – Don’t Fix It!’ campaign, has implemented a match-fixing hotline, through which players and coaches can confidentially and anonymously report notices of alleged match-fixing.²⁰ State rules protecting whistleblowers are still absent in Switzerland, however, even though they are crucial to combating any kind of corruption.

**Legal changes under way**

**Swiss Criminal Code**

Improvement is in sight. There have been moves in the Swiss Parliament to change the law so that private bribery becomes an ex officio crime (meaning that such crime has to be prosecuted by the State prosecutor in case of any knowledge of such crime and without any intervention of any third party) in the future and punishable under the Swiss Criminal Code.²¹ Following the same recommendation from a Groupe d’États contre la Corruption (GRECO) report,²² the Federal Council therefore commissioned the Swiss Federal Department of Justice and Police to consider making private bribery an ex officio crime and transferring it from the Federal Act against Unfair Competition to the Swiss Criminal Code. Unlike the act, the Criminal Code does not have a requirement that a competitive relationship has to be proved.

The Commission for Legal Affairs of the Council of States adopted the outline proposal against private bribery unanimously on 25 April 2015. Contrary to the proposal of the Federal Council,
however, the majority of the Commission did not want ex officio prosecution in less serious cases. The majority of the Council of States followed the recommendation of the Commission and wanted to ensure that no criminal proceedings should be carried out in minor cases. One Member of the Council of States, Pirmin Bischof of the Christian Democratic People's Party of Switzerland (Kanton Solothurn) claimed that if for example the employee of a baker accepts a bribe to buy a particular furnace for the bakery, his supervisor should decide on the conduct of criminal proceedings. This led to the decision by the Commission that private bribery should be prosecuted only on request, if by doing so no public interests were injured or endangered. In the overall vote, held on 3 June 2015, the Council of States approved the new law by 23 votes to four, with 16 abstentions. At the time of writing the law still has to be approved by the House of Representatives.

New money-laundering rules

One of the major changes in Swiss law regarding the fight against corruption in sport associations has concerned the amendments to the money-laundering rules. In recent years the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), through its Financial Action Task Force (FATF) on money-laundering (also known as the Groupe d’Action Financière: GAFI), has urged its member countries – including Switzerland – to tighten their money-laundering regulations. On 12 December 2014 the Act on the Implementation of the Revised Recommendations of FATF was adopted by the Swiss Parliament. In these amended recommendations the FATF has extended the definition of a politically exposed person (PEP) to include senior politicians and officials of international sports organisations based in Switzerland.

The most important amendments, which now also affect officials of sports organisations, are that cash transactions may not exceed CHF 100,000 (some US$108,000) and that serious tax offences are considered as a predicate offence to money-laundering. This means that a seller has to identify
and register any person who wants to pay more than CHF 100,000 in cash, or the money has to be transferred.

**Conclusion**

As the most important hub for the world's most important sports organisations, it is crucial that Switzerland lead by example in the fight against corruption in sport. It would seem that the country’s legislature is now starting to see this; this may be why Switzerland is the first signatory of the Convention on the Manipulation of Sports Competitions. 29

Protecting the integrity and credibility of sport requires enhanced governmental regulation. The indictment by US authorities and arrest of 14 current and former FIFA officials in Zurich on 27 May 2015 30 clearly shows that sport organisations’ corporate governance rules are insufficient. The fight against corruption in sport is primarily the task of the private sports organisations, but states’ legal systems and their governments must provide these organisations with the necessary legal framework. In the past sport organisations in Switzerland always asserted their autonomy, and until now the state has granted this autonomy. With autonomy comes responsibility, however, and whether this responsibility has been lived up to or not in the past remains an open question. Given that an organisation of the stature of FIFA was apparently unable to do so, it seems the only logical consequence that the state should now try to intervene in a regulatory way.

Corruption in sport must be combated by all stakeholders joining forces, working together with the state authorities. Legal reforms are a first and important step in the right direction. It is clear that, in Switzerland at least, the force of criminal authority is required, to make use of compulsory measures, as the civil law is inadequate to deal with illegal activities carried out by the most powerful organisations, such as FIFA and the IOC.
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